## Document 30, Joyce E. Batezel, Moose, WY Page 1 of 1

| Idaho High-level Waste and Facilities Disposition Draft Environmental Impact Statement U.S. Department of Energy Idaho Operations Office Written Comment Form Must be postmarked or dated by March 20, 2000 |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| - February 18, 2000                                                                                                                                                                                         |
| Thomas L. Wichmann                                                                                                                                                                                          |
| FOE Laha                                                                                                                                                                                                    |
| ATTN: EI 5 Public Comment FEB 28 2000                                                                                                                                                                       |
| - 1/1/1. 22 5 Mills (Minmin) FER 20 Est                                                                                                                                                                     |
| A 300 3/5'/                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| Dear Mr. Mich mann,                                                                                                                                                                                         |
| 30-1 Thank you for holding The meeting in Juperin on                                                                                                                                                        |
| A February 9 th. It helped clarify a complex problem.                                                                                                                                                       |
| after reading and Considering your information                                                                                                                                                              |
| I believe that the method of disposal should be                                                                                                                                                             |
| on The Direct Coment Waster Aption which would                                                                                                                                                              |
| 11.02 bs olifily the wester with out moving it but rather                                                                                                                                                   |
| (1) steer to the standard by about the black of                                                                                                                                                             |
| Solo in the State Down all y flaces to get                                                                                                                                                                  |
| wellow young and wingspin new usus walls is militing                                                                                                                                                        |
| - Since LAFF will continue to operate you some yours,                                                                                                                                                       |
| I Thank The alternature for Closure should be                                                                                                                                                               |
| 30-3 Closure to Tandfill standards in assorbance with                                                                                                                                                       |
| (1) state and federal requirements. It summerges:                                                                                                                                                           |
| 1. The Direct Coment Water Option                                                                                                                                                                           |
| 2. Closure to Lant Sill Standards                                                                                                                                                                           |
| Sincerely.                                                                                                                                                                                                  |
| Mrs. James E. Baterel                                                                                                                                                                                       |
| PORX 513 Masse, WY 83012                                                                                                                                                                                    |
| Written comment forms may be faxed to: Written comment forms may be mailed to:                                                                                                                              |
| Thomas L. Wichmann Thomas L. Wichmann EIS Document Manager EIS Document Manager                                                                                                                             |
| 208-526-1184 850 Energy Drive, MS 1108                                                                                                                                                                      |
| Idaho Falls, Idaho 83401-1563                                                                                                                                                                               |
| Or send comments via the internet at: http://www.jason.com/hlwfdeis                                                                                                                                         |
|                                                                                                                                                                                                             |
|                                                                                                                                                                                                             |

## Document 31, Tri-City Industrial Development Council (Harold Heacock), Kennewick, WA Page 1 of 2



HLW & FD

EIS PROJECT - AR/PF Control # DC-3

TRI-CITY INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT COUNCIL

1-800-TRI-CITY 509-735-1000 509-735-6609 fax



31-7

31-0

STATEMENT PREPARED FOR DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY PUBLIC HEARING ON DRAFT EIS REGARDING IDAHO HIGH LEVEL WASTE AND FACILITIES DISPOSITION PASCO, WASHINGTON FEBRUARY 24, 2000

The Tri-City Industrial Development Council (TRIDEC) is composed of over 350 dues paying individuals, organizations, and firms having an interest in the economic vitality and growth of the Tri-Cities area. We have been designated by the Department of Energy as the "one voice" spokesman for the Tri-Cities on economic development issues. We have a consistent record of interest in and support for the expeditious cleanup and restoration of the Hanford site and the utilization of site for economic diversification. We appreciate the opportunity to present the views of our organization on this draft EIS.

The possible utilization of the Hanford Waste Vitrification Plant for the processing of high level fuel processing wastes at Hanford could have a significant impact on the Hanford cleanup program. Based on currently available preliminary information, the use of the Hanford vitrification plant for processing and vitrification of the Idaho high level wastes would provide 31-2 11-E (4) significant cost savings to the Department of Energy over other realistic alternatives. The environmental impacts of this alternative appear to be equivalent or less than those of the other 31-3 11.E(4) alternatives.

However, this alternative has not been studied in sufficient depth to support a firm position for or 31-4 against this alternative at this time. If the use of the Hanford vitrification plant for the processing of the Idaho High Level Wastes is to be considered further a more detailed Environmental Impact Analysis of this alternative must be prepared and reviewed by the public including the State of Washington agencies having an interest in this subject. In the preparations of this analysis there are several considerations which must be included in the evaluation.

31-5 • The Hanford Waste Vitrification Plant must be adequately funded, completed, and in full 11.E(2) operation before any consideration can be given to the processing of off site wastes.

• The processing of Idaho wastes cannot delay or interfere with the planned or accelerated processing of Hanford wastes. 11.E(5)

Consideration must be given to the impact that additions to the plant will have on local governmental services, police, fire, roads, schools, etc... VIII.1(2)

EXHIBIT #2 HLW F&D EIS Pasco, WA February 24, 2000.

Herecock Name: Harold

DOE/EIS-0287

## Document 31, Tri-City Industrial Development Council (Harold Heacock), Kennewick, WA Page 2 of 2

 Any offsite wastes which are processed or vitrified in the plant must be returned to the sender or to a national repository. Interim or permanent disposal of the wastes at Hanford is 11.E(6) not acceptable.

• Full funding for all transportation, processing, and storage costs must be provided as an 31-10 (1.E(3) added increment to Hanford Environmental Management funding.

 Consideration must be given to local environmental impacts resulting from the transportation and processing of the Idaho wastes. VIII. H (3)

3/-12 Offsite transportation corridor safety, environmental impacts, and traffic issues must be thoroughly reviewed in cooperation with local and tribal governments. Provision must be made to alleviate any additional costs which may be incurred by local and state government 31-13 11.E(3) agencies.

We believe that these issues are reasonable requirements that provide a bottom line basis for evaluation at the importation of high level wastes to Hanford for processing and vitrification. In view of the potential significant savings from the Hanford alternative that would accrue to the Department as compared to other feasible alternatives, this alternative should be given a more comprehensive evaluation than is currently available.

Thank you for the opportunity to present our views on this subject.

2

## Document 32, U.S. Department of Commerce (Susan B. Fruchter), Washington, D.C. Page 1 of 2

Feb 28 00 11:10a

EH-421

202 596-7031

p.2

*Appendix* 

D

1

New Information

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Office of the Under Secre Oceans and Atmosphere Washington, D.C. 20230

HLW & FD

Control # DC-32

February 16, 2000

Ms. Carol M. Borgstrom, Director Office of NEPA Policy and Assistance Department of Energy Washington, DC 20585

Dear Ms. Borgstrom:

Enclosed are comments on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for Idaho High-Level Waste and Facilities Disposition Roise, Idaho. We hope our comments can assist you. Thank you for giving us an opportunity to review this document.

Sincerely,

Susper Trichter Susan B. Fruchter Acting NEPA Coordinator

Enclosure

FEB 23 2000

EH-42





